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Abstract
Mind wandering refers to a shift of attention away from a task at hand to task-unrelated thoughts. Several groups have shown increased activation of the left medial temporal lobe (MTL) before and during spontaneous thoughts suggesting that the left MTL may play a crucial role in mind wandering. Due to its relevance for long-term memory, we further hypothesized that the left MTL is particularly involved in mind wandering towards the past. Accordingly, we predicted a reduced propensity to mind wander and less past-oriented mind wandering in patients with left MTL epilepsies. To this end, we experimentally investigated mind wandering in 89 in-patients undergoing diagnostic evaluation of their putative epileptic disorder. Patients performed a sustained attention to response task with embedded experience sampling probes aiming to assess occurrence, meta-awareness and temporal orientation (past/present/future) of mind-wandering episodes. We did not find significant differences in the propensity to mind wander between patient subgroups. However, the left MTL epilepsy subgroup showed significantly reduced past-oriented mind wandering compared to right MTL epilepsies, as well as a trend towards diminished past-oriented mind wandering compared to idiopathic epilepsies. Possibly due to compensatory mechanisms, the right MTL epilepsy subgroup showed significantly increased past-oriented mind wandering compared to extratemporal epilepsies and patients with syncope. These behavioural findings point to a rejection of the hypothesis that the amount of time engaged in mind wandering crucially depends on the left MTL. However, our data do support the idea that the left MTL is particularly involved in mind wandering towards the past.
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INTRODUCTION

Mind wandering is reported to occupy up to half of the waking time of adult individuals (Killingsworth & Gilbert, 2010). It refers to a pervasive and ubiquitous state where attention is withdrawn from the task or situation currently at hand and drifts to internal thoughts, images or feelings, often unrelated to the task or environment. Mind wandering can have both positive and negative effects (Leszczynski et al., 2017; Mooneyham & Schooler, 2013). Amongst the positive effects are enhanced creativity and better future planning (Baird et al., 2012; Oettingen & Schwörer, 2013). The negative effects range from errors in ongoing perceptual and cognitive tasks (Christoff et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2007). More specifically, several neuroimaging studies have pointed to a role of the left medial temporal lobe (MTL) in mind wandering (for an overview, see Fox, Spreng, Ellamil, Andrews-Hanna, & Christoff, 2015). In particular, an fMRI study investigating the occurrence of spontaneous thoughts in experienced meditators indicated that the left hippocampus was the region activated first when thoughts arose (Ellamil et al., 2016). Taken together, these studies suggest that activity of the left hippocampus is crucial for mind wandering.

At least two processes, that is the initiation and maintenance of mind wandering, contribute to its propensity, as measured by experience sampling probes (Smallwood, 2013). In the present study, we did not aim at disentangling these processes. Due to the suggested crucial role of the left hippocampus in mind wandering, we hypothesized that subjects with left MTL pathology would spend less time engaged in mind wandering, as measured by experience sampling probes. As the MTL is fundamental for long-term memory processes (e.g. Bird & Burgess, 2008; Eichenbaum, 2000), another more obvious idea is that the MTL is involved in mind wandering towards the past. Therefore, we further hypothesized that subjects with left MTL pathology would spend less amounts of time engaged in mind wandering towards the past.

McCormick, Rosenthal, Miller, and Maguire (2018) investigated mind wandering in six patients with bilateral hippocampal damage and twelve age- and gender-matched healthy controls. The authors reported that patients engaged in as much mind wandering as the control subjects. However, average mind wandering was numerically smaller in the patients and the hypothesis of decreased mind wandering was rejected based on a rather low p-value (two-tailed p = .12). Importantly, the patients’ mind wandering was more often related to the present and less to past and future, suggesting a role of the MTL in the temporal orientation of mind wandering (McCormick et al., 2018). Recently, O’Callaghan, Shine, Hodges, Andrews-Hanna, and Irish (2019) examined mind wandering in a large group of frontotemporal and Alzheimer dementia patients, as well in a group of age-matched controls. They observed that the propensity to mind wander was associated with grey matter integrity in the left hippocampus and left parahippocampal gyrus (O’Callaghan et al., 2019). Moreover, mind-wandering scores were significantly correlated with connectivity of the left MTL with other brain regions.

To our knowledge, mind wandering has not yet been directly and systematically studied in patients with epilepsy. Here, we experimentally investigated mind wandering in 89 patients admitted to our ward, undergoing assessment of their putative epileptic disorder. Based on the final outcomes of diagnostic evaluation, four groups of epilepsy patients (left and right MTL epilepsy, extratemporal epilepsy, idiopathic epilepsy) and two control groups (non-epileptic dissociative seizures and cardiac synapses) were identified. Mind wandering was assessed using a variant of the sustained attention response task (SART) with embedded intermittent experience sampling probes. These “thought” probes inquired as to whether the patients’ attention was “focused on or off” the continuous monitoring task, and in the latter case, patients were presented with additional probes accessing meta-awareness and the temporal orientation (past, present, future) of mind-wandering episodes. We examined the hypotheses outlined above, that (a) left MTL epilepsy patients spend less amounts of time mind wandering, and (b) left MTL epilepsy patients engage in less past-oriented mind wandering compared to the other patient subgroups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Patients

In total, 89 inpatients were recruited into the study. Based on clinical evaluation, we grouped them into the following six diagnostic subgroups: patients with left MTL epilepsy (n = 20, mean age ± SEM: 49.8 ± 3.7, 10 female), patients with right MTL epilepsy (n = 11, age: 43.8 ± 5.7, 5 female), patients with extratemporal epilepsy (n = 20, age: 41.4 ± 4.0, 10 female), patients with idiopathic epilepsies (n = 11, age: 27.2 ± 3.2, 8 female), patients with dissociative non-epileptic seizures (n = 12, age: 30.7 ± 4.3, 8 female) and patients with absences caused by cardiac synapses (n = 15, age: 20.7 ± 4.2, 8 female).
40.5 ± 5.1, 3 female). Diagnoses were provided by experienced senior physicians at the Dept. of Epileptology based on clinical parameters (semiology; physical, neuropsychological and psychopathological examinations), as well as the results of magnetic resonance imaging, electrocardiography and electroencephalography recordings including registration of seizures and synapses. Diagnosis was made at the conclusion of the inpatient’s stay on the ward. Patients were recruited into the study by being personally approached by an investigator, who provided detailed written information regarding the study and how their data will be utilized. All patients were informed that the study was independent of any clinical, diagnostic or treatment procedures and that their decision whether to participate would not disadvantage them in any way. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Bonn, and all procedures were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent.

### 2.2 Self-rating scales

Prior to performing the behavioural task to assess mind wandering, patients were asked to complete four self-rating questionnaires: (a) the mind-wandering questionnaire (MWQ; Mrazek, Phillips, Franklin, Broadway, & Schooler, 2013); (b) an attention deficit/hyperactivity scale (adult self-report scale, ASRSv1.1; Adler, Kessler, & Spencer, 2003), (c) a depression scale (Beck depression inventory II; Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996) and (d) a trait anxiety scale (state-trait anxiety inventory, STAI-Trait; Spielberger, Gorsuch, Lushene, Vagg, & Jacobs, 1983). Only data from correctly completed questionnaires were subjected to statistical analyses ((a) \( n = 88 \), (b) \( n = 75 \), (c) \( n = 85 \), (d) \( n = 82 \)).

### 2.3 SART task with embedded experience sampling probes

Patients were asked to perform a variant of the (SART; Robertson et al., 1997; see Figure 1). This task required patients to continuously monitor a stream of digits (0–9) presented at the centre of a computer screen for 2 s (inter-stimulus interval: 2 s). Digits 0–2 and 4–9 were used as non-target items, and patients were required to respond by pressing the space bar, as quickly and accurately as possible. The number 3 was used as a target, which required patients to withhold the button-press. Digits in the number stream were presented in a random order. Each experimental session was conducted in the patient’s room on the ward. The investigator ensured that they would not be disturbed while performing the task.

**FIGURE 1** Schematic representation of the sustained attention to response task (SART) including experience sampling probes. Patients were instructed to respond to non-target numbers within the digit-stream (0–2, 4–9), by pressing the space bar. When the target was shown (3), they had to withhold the button-press. Intermittently, experience sampling probes inquired as to whether they were engaging in mind wandering (mind-wandering probe), and, if so, whether they were aware that they were doing so (meta-awareness probe) and what the temporal focus of their thoughts was (temporal orientation probe). Experience sampling probes were shown every 25–35 s and dismissed once the patient had indicated a response.
2.4 | Experience sampling

During the SART task, experience sampling probes were used to examine the patients’ level of mind wandering, as well as their meta-awareness and the temporal orientation of mind-wandering episodes. Fifty probes (either onefold or threefold) were presented at intervals jittered between 25 and 35 s. The initial probe inquired as to whether the patient had engaged in mind wandering immediately prior to the presentation of the probe: “Where was your attention focused directly before this probe appeared?” (possible responses: “on task” or “off-task”). In case the patient chose “off-task,” a second subsequent probe related to meta-awareness was displayed: “Were you aware that your attention was off-task?” (possible responses: “aware” or “unaware”). Finally, a third probe was presented inquiring: “Were your thoughts concerned with…” (possible responses: “past” or “present” or “future”). Patients responded to each probe by pressing the relevant key indicated onscreen.

2.5 | Pathology and neuropsychological data

For the patients diagnosed with MTL and extratemporal epilepsy, pathology data were acquired and classified using the following categories: hippocampal sclerosis, volume increase, focal cortical dysplasia/tumour, vascular lesion and other. Results from neuropsychological tests conducted during the patient's stay on the ward were available for 42 patients (MTL left: 13; MTL right: 6; extratemporal: 12; idiopathic: 3; dissociative: 5; syncopes: 3). Neuropsychological data comprised the following domains: intelligence, attention, verbal memory, figural memory, accentuated personality, level of education, handedness. Intelligence was assessed by a German vocabulary test which provides a measure of knowledge-based crystallized intelligence (Lehrl, 1977). Attention was quantified using a subtest of the EpiTrack® test battery (Lutz & Helmstaedter, 2005). Verbal memory was assessed by the Verbal Lern- und Merkfähigkeitstest (VLMT; Lux, Helmstaedter, & Elger, 1999), a modified German word list learning version of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test. To examine figural memory, we used a revised version of a German design list learning task (Diagnostik für Cerebraleschädigung/DCS-R; Lamberti & Weidlich, 1999). Accentuated personality was evaluated using the German personality inventory for persons with CNS diseases (FPZ; Helmstaedter & Witt, 2012). The individual neuropsychological domains were rated on a five-tiered scale as practised and described before (Helmstaedter & Witt, 2012; 0 = severe impairment, i.e. at least two test scores >2 standard deviation [SD] below the mean of the normative sample [always taking age into consideration]; 1 = impairment, that is, at least two test scores >1 SD below the mean of the normative sample; 2 = borderline, that is at least one test score >1 SD below the mean of the normative sample; 3 = impaired, that is no test scores >1 SD below the mean of the normative sample; 4 = above average, at least two test scores >1 SD above the mean score of the normative sample) based on the underlying psychometric test results.

2.6 | Data analyses

The following eight measures were evaluated based on the behavioural responses during the SART task: percentage of mind wandering (i.e. of “off-task” responses), percentage of meta-awareness (i.e. of “aware” responses), percentages of “past,” “present” and “future” responses, percentage of correct responses to targets, percentage of correct responses to non-targets, reaction times for non-target responses. With the exception of reaction times, data for these measures were subjected to arcsine transformation to render normal distribution (transformed value=arcsine (square root (original value)). Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVAs with the independent factor diagnosis (left MTL, right MTL, extratemporal, idiopathic, dissociative, syncope). In case of a significant effect for the factor diagnosis, post-hoc comparisons between the left MTL epilepsy group and all other groups were performed based on one-sided Dunnett’s tests. For exploratory purposes, we additionally calculated Fisher’s least square difference (LSD) post hoc tests between all pairs of groups. Moreover, Spearman's correlation coefficients across all patients were calculated between the scores of the four self-rating scales and the percentages of mind wandering. Furthermore, neuropsychological test scores were subjected to one-way ANOVAs with the independent factor diagnosis (left MTL, right MTL, extratemporal, idiopathic, dissociative, syncope; alternatively: left MTL, right MTL; and: extratemporal, right MTL).

3 | RESULTS

To investigate whether propensity, meta-awareness and temporal orientation of mind wandering differed between patient subgroups, we conducted one-way ANOVAs with diagnosis as independent factor. For the propensity to mind wander (see Figure 2), the levels of meta-awareness (see Figure 3), and the orientation towards present and future, we found no significant effects of diagnosis (propensity: $F_{5,83} = 0.562; p = .73$; meta-awareness: $F_{5,74} = 1.134; p = .35$; present: $F_{5,74} = 0.326; p = .90$; future: $F_{5,74} = 0.290; p = .92$). Furthermore, there were no significant effects of diagnosis for the percentage of correct responses to targets ($F_{5,83} = 0.742; p = .13$), the percentage of correct responses to non-targets ($F_{5,83} = 1.777; p = .59$) and for reaction times ($F_{5,83} = 0.395; p = .85$).
We observed a significant effect of diagnosis for the orientation of mind wandering towards the past ($F_{5,74} = 2.428; p = .043$; see Figure 4). Post-hoc one-sided Dunnett's tests revealed less past-oriented mind wandering in the left MTL epilepsy subgroup compared to right MTL epilepsies ($p = .025$). Apart from this difference, additional exploratory Fisher's LSD post hoc tests indicated a trend for decreased past-oriented mind wandering in the left MTL epilepsy group compared to idiopathic epilepsies ($p = .073$). Furthermore, LSD tests pointed to increased past-oriented mind wandering in the right MTL epilepsy subgroup compared to extratemporal epilepsies ($p = .004$), patients with syncopes ($0.046$) and dissociative epilepsies ($p = .062$), as well as increased past-oriented mind wandering in idiopathic epilepsies compared to extratemporal epilepsies ($p = .034$).

The scores of the MWQ (Mrazek et al., 2013) were positively correlated with the experimentally determined propensities to mind wander (Spearman’s $\rho = 0.422; p < .001$). Moreover, overall ASRS-scores were positively correlated with mind-wandering propensities ($\rho = 0.309; p = .007$), as well as its subscores for attention deficit ($\rho = 0.237; p = .041$) and hyperactivity ($\rho = 0.369; p = .001$). Furthermore, we observed a trend for a positive correlation between mind-wandering propensities and BDI-II depression scores ($\rho = 0.182; p = .095$), but no significant correlation with trait anxiety ($\rho = 0.09; p = .42$).

Pathologies for MTL and extratemporal epilepsy patients exhibited no noticeable patterns, which may be relatable to the results regarding past-oriented mind wandering (see Table 1). Moreover, exploratory one-way ANOVAs for each of the seven neuropsychology scores revealed no differences across all patient subgroups (each $p > .15$). To further explore a possible relation between the observed group differences for past-oriented mind-wandering and
neuropsychological traits, we calculated one-way ANOVAS only, including MTL left and MTL right epilepsy, as well as extratemporal and MTL right epilepsy. There was no difference in neuropsychology scores between MTL left and MTL right epilepsy (each \( p > .15 \)). However, we detected differences between extratemporal and MTL right epilepsy, in terms of lower intelligence (\( p = .035 \)) and attention scores (\( p = .048 \)), in extratemporal epilepsy. These exploratory findings should be regarded with caution, since they were not corrected for multiple comparisons. Spearman correlations between percentages of past-oriented mind-wandering and neuropsychological scores across all patient subgroups were not significant (each \( p > .05 \)).

**4 | DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we examined the occurrence and temporal orientation of mind wandering in patients with left dominant MTL epilepsy compared to five other clinically evaluated diagnostic subgroups (right dominant MTL epilepsy, extratemporal epilepsy, idiopathic epilepsy, non-epileptic dissociative seizures and cardiac syncopes). We tested the two major hypotheses that (a) left MTL epilepsy patients spend less amounts of time mind wandering, and (b) left MTL epilepsy patients engage in less past-oriented mind wandering. The fact that we did not find a significant difference in the propensity to mind wander between the six diagnostic groups points to a rejection of the first hypothesis. However, in line with the findings of McCormick et al. (2018), we did detect evidence for decreased past-oriented mind wandering in left MTL epilepsy patients, lending support to the second hypothesis. This decrease in past-oriented mind wandering was most pronounced compared to patients with right MTL epilepsies. Of note, a recent study reported that patients exhibiting left hippocampal sclerosis showed reduced functional connectivity to default mode network structures during resting state compared to controls and patients with right hippocampal sclerosis (Zanão, Lopes, de Campos, Yasuda, & Cendes, 2019). Furthermore, a recent investigation analysing grey matter architecture found that the left parahippocampus is related to off-task focus (anterior left parahippocampus) and level of visual detail (posterior left parahippocampus) of ongoing thoughts (Ho et al., 2019).

Interestingly, we found evidence for increased past-oriented mind wandering in right MTL epilepsy patients, not only compared to patients with left MTL epilepsy, but also compared to those with extratemporal epilepsies, as well as patients with syncopes and dissociative seizures. Speculatively, this phenomenon may be caused by compensatory mechanisms enhancing functions in the left MTL during the course of right MTL epilepsy. In line with this rationale, for instance, increased subsequent memory-related fMRI activations in the left hippocampus and amygdala (Powell et al., 2007) and an inflation of left hippocampal shape (Yoo et al., 2019) have been reported in right MTL epilepsy patients compared to healthy controls. These findings tentatively suggest that memory-related functions in the left MTL may surpass normal level during the course of right MTL epilepsy to compensate for the deficient right MTL. Under the assumption that memory-related functions overlap with functions supporting mind wandering towards the past, such an increase above the normal level, speculatively, may lead to enhanced past-related mind wandering.

Aside from this interpretation, functional coupling between the right hippocampus and other default mode network regions has been found to be related to mental time travel (towards past or future) during mind wandering (Karapanagiotidis, Bernhardt, Jefferies, & Smallwood, 2017). Although this result represents a more direct link between activity of the right hippocampus and the temporal aspect of mind wandering, from our view, a straightforward connection to our findings is not evident.

Interestingly, right MTL epilepsy patients did not only show increased past-oriented mind wandering when compared to extratemporal epilepsy patients, but also tested higher on intelligence and attention rating scales. While mind wandering has been suggested to be linked to fluid intelligence (e.g. Godwin et al., 2017), our intelligence measure rather quantified knowledge-based intelligence. Moreover, these findings are based on exploratory analyses and therefore have to be regarded with caution. Future investigations may focus on more thoroughly addressing the interrelation between neuropsychological measures and mind wandering in epilepsy patients.

It should be noted that we did not aim to disentangle the initiation and maintenance of mind wandering in the present study (see e.g. Vatansever, Bozhilova, Asherson,
& Smallwood, 2019). The left hippocampus, for instance, has been suggested to be involved in the initiation of mind wandering (Ellamil et al., 2016), while the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Turnbull et al., 2019) and the fronto-parietal control network (Kam et al., 2019) may be related to the maintenance of mind wandering. In future studies examining mind wandering in epilepsy, it would be desirable to isolate both subprocesses. Moreover, it may be interesting to further disentangle mind wandering in the form of verbal thoughts and visual images (McCormick et al., 2018), in particular, with regard to the predominant roles of the left versus right MTL in verbal/semantic versus visual/non-semantic memory (e.g. Dalton, Homberger, & Piguet, 2016).

Regarding the interdependency between mind wandering and mood (Fox et al., 2018; Killingsworth & Gilbert, 2010), as well as attention deficit and hyperactivity (Lanier, Noyes, & Biederman, 2019), we additionally assessed these traits using self-rating scales. As expected, we found significant positive correlations between the propensity to mind wander and attention deficit, hyperactivity and depression scores in our patient sample. Possibly, therapeutic interventions aiming at a reduction of mind wandering may be able to alleviate symptoms related to these comorbidities in the future (e.g. Chaieb, Antal, Derner, Leszczynski, & Fell, 2019).

To conclude, our findings do not support the hypothesis that the amount of time engaged in mind wandering crucially depends on the left hippocampus. However, our data corroborate the idea of a prominent role of the left hippocampus in past-oriented mind wandering. Future investigations should further elucidate this role based on functional methods, such as fMRI in healthy subjects or intracranial EEG in presurgical epilepsy patients.
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